

The China Mail.

Established February, 1846.

Vol. XLIII. No. 7346.

號一月三年七十八百八千一英

HONGKONG, TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 1887.

日七初月二年亥丁

Price, \$2 PER MONTH.

AGENTS FOR THE CHINA MAIL.

LONDON.—F. ALGAR, 11 & 12, Clement's Lane, Lombard Street, E.C. GEORGE SMITH & Co., 30, Cornhill, London. GORDON & GORON, Ludgate Circus, E.C. BATES HARDY & Co., 37, Watling Street, E.C. SAMPSON, LOWE & Co., 150 & 151, Leadenhall Street, W. M. WILKS, 151, Cannon Street, E.C.
PARIS AND BRUSSELS.—AMBIER PAINCHON & Co., 36, Rue Lafayette, Paris. NEW YORK.—ANDREW WIND, 21, Park Row.
SAN FRANCISCO and American Ports generally.—BRAN & BLACK, San Francisco.
AUSTRALIA, TASMANIA, AND NEW ZEALAND.—GORDON & GORON, Melbourne and Sydney.
CEYLON.—W. M. SMITH & Co., THE ARCHBISHOPS, Colombo.
SINGAPORE, STRAITS, &c.—SAYRE & Co., Square, Singapore. C. HINSHEN & Co., Malacca.
CHINA.—MACAO, F. A. DE OLIVEIRA, Suva, Quilon & Co., Amoy, M. MOORE, Swatow, H. H. HARRIS, Shanghai, LAY, CRAWFORD & Co., and KELLY & WALSHE, Yokohama, Kobe, CRAWFORD & Co., and KELLY & WALSHE.

Banks.

HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.

PAID-UP CAPITAL, \$7,500,000
RESERVE FUND, \$4,500,000
RESERVE LIABILITY OF FUND, \$7,500,000
PRESTIGES, \$7,500,000

COURT OF DIRECTORS.
Chairman—M. GAZON, Esq.
Deputy Chairman—O. D. BOTTLEMAN, Esq.
Hon. J. BELL, Esq. H. M. HENDERSON, Esq.
W. L. F. DAVY, Esq. H. M. HENDERSON, Esq.
H. L. DALEY, Esq. Hon. A. F. McEWEEN, Esq.
Hon. A. M. MOORE, Esq.
Hon. F. D. SASSOON, Esq.

Chief Manager, THOMAS JACKSON, Esq.
Acting Chief Manager, JOHN WALTER, Esq.
Shanghai, E. W. CAMERON, Esq.
LONDON BANKERS—London and County Bank.

HONGKONG.
ON Current Deposit Account at the rate of 2 per cent. per annum on the daily balance.
On Fixed Deposits:—
For 3 months, 3 per cent. per annum.
" 6 " 4 per cent. " "
" 12 " 5 per cent. " "

LOCAL BELLS DISCOUNTED.
Credits granted on approved Securities, and every description of Banking and Exchange business transacted.
Drafts granted on London, and the chief Commercial places in Europe, India, Australia, America, China and Japan.
JOHN WALTER, Acting Chief Manager.
Hongkong, February 26, 1887. 363

NOTICE.

RULES OF THE HONGKONG SAVINGS BANK.

- 1.—The business of the above Bank will be conducted by the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation, on their premises in Hongkong, on business hours on week-days, 10 to 5; Saturdays, 10 to 1.
- 2.—Sums less than \$1, or more than \$250 at one time will not be received. No depositor may deposit more than \$2,500 in any one year.
- 3.—Depositors in the Savings Bank having \$100 or more at their credit may at their option transfer the same to the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation on fixed deposit for 12 months at 5 per cent. per annum interest.
- 4.—Interest at the rate of 3 per cent. per annum will be allowed on deposits on their daily balances.
- 5.—Each Depositor will be supplied gratis with a Pass-Book which must be presented with each payment or withdrawal. Depositors must not make any entries themselves in their Pass-Books, but should send them to be written up at least twice a year, about the beginning of January and beginning of July.
- 6.—Correspondence as to the business of the Bank if made at the Hongkong Savings Bank, Business is forwarded free by the various British Post Offices in Hongkong and China.
- 7.—Withdrawals may be made on demand, but the personal attendance of the depositor or his duly appointed agent, and the production of his Pass-Book are necessary.

For the HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION,
JOHN WALTER, Acting Chief Manager.
Hongkong, June 7, 1887. 754

Intimations.

WANTED TO PURCHASE.

A STEAMER, of 1,200 to 1,600 Tons REGISTERED, must be in good order and working condition.
Send Full Particulars, Price, &c., &c., to
JNO. W. HALL, Auctioneer, YOKOHAMA.
28th February, 1887. 572

CHAS. J. GAUFF & Co., Chronometer, Watch & Clock Makers, Jewellers, Gold & Silver Smiths.
NAUTICAL, SCIENTIFIC AND METEOROLOGICAL INSTRUMENTS.
VOYAGERS' ORIENTALIZED.
BINOCULARS and TELESCOPES.
RIVERS' LIGHT and OTHER COMPASSES.
ADMIRALTY and IMRAY CHARTS.
NAUTICAL BOOKS.
English and French-PLATE WARE.
Crystal and Glass ELECTRO-PLATED WARE.
GOLD & SILVER JEWELLERY, in great variety.
DIAMONDS.
DIAMOND JEWELLERY.
A Splendid Collection of the Latest LONDON PATTERNS, at very moderate prices. 143

Intimations.

CHINA MERCHANTS' STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY'S DEBENTURE LOAN OF 1886.

INTEREST due and DRAWN BONDS of this LOAN will be Payable at the Office of THE HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION on and after 1st day of March, 1887.

JOHN WALTER, Acting Chief Manager, Hongkong, February 28, 1887. 373

CHINA SUGAR REFINING COMPANY, LIMITED.

NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

THE Ninth Ordinary Annual MEETING of this Company will be held at the Office of THE HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION on and after 1st day of March, 1887.

And Notice is also hereby given that at the same place and on the same day at 12.15 o'clock in the afternoon or so soon after as the Ordinary Annual Meeting shall be held, an EXTRAORDINARY General MEETING of the Company will be held for the purpose of passing the subjoined Resolutions. Should the Resolutions be passed by the required majority they will be submitted for Confirmation at a Second Extraordinary Meeting of which due Notice will be given.

PROPOSED SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS.

- 1.—That the present Capital of the China Sugar Refining Company Limited be increased from \$900,000 to \$1,500,000 by the issue of 6,000 New Shares of \$100 each, to be issued at par.
- 2.—That the price of issue of each of the said new shares be fully paid up by the allottees on the 31st March 1887, together with the sum of \$2 for each share by way of interest on such share from the 1st January 1887 to the 31st March 1887 at the rate of 8 per cent. per annum.
- 3.—The holder of each of the said new shares shall be entitled to participate in the profits of the Company as from the 1st January 1887 equally with the holders of the present Capital of \$900,000.
- 4.—That the said new shares be offered in the first place in such manner and at such times, as the General Agents shall think fit to the persons who shall, on the 25th day of February 1887, be the registered holders of the old or present shares in the proportion following, that is to say, two new shares shall be offered to every holder of a complete number of three of the said old or present shares, and shall be accepted or not within the time limited for that purpose by the General Agents, and that any new share or shares offered in manner aforesaid, and not accepted within the time so limited, and also any share or shares which the General Agents shall have been unable to offer in manner aforesaid, shall be offered to the persons who shall, on the 25th day of February 1887, be the registered holders of the old or present shares, shall be disposed of and allotted by the General Agents and Consulting Committee at such times, to such persons, upon such terms, and in such manner and way in every respect as they shall in their discretion think best in the interests of the Company.
- 5.—That the purchase of Inland Lots Nos. 724 and 725, Victoria, Hongkong at the agreed price of \$50,000 be now formally confirmed and these lands transferred into the Company's name.

JARDINE, MATHESON & Co., General Agents, China Sugar Refining Company, Limited.
Hongkong, February 19, 1887. 308

THE HONGKONG FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

NOTICE is hereby given that an EXTRAORDINARY General MEETING of the above named Company will be held at the Registered Office of the Company, Pedder's Street, Victoria, in the Colony of Hongkong, on WEDNESDAY, the 10th March, 1887, at 12 o'clock (noon), when the subjoined Resolution, which was passed at the Extraordinary General Meeting of the Company held on the 22nd day of February, 1887, will be submitted for Confirmation as a Special Resolution.

RESOLUTION.

That the Articles of Association be altered in manner following:—

- (a.) The following Article shall be substituted for Article No. 111, namely:—111.—The Reserve Fund shall consist of a sum of not less than \$1,000,000 nor more than \$1,500,000.
- (b.) The following Article shall be substituted for Article No. 112, namely:—112.—The Reserve Fund having now reached the sum of \$1,000,000 the General Managers and the Consulting Committee may appropriate from time to time so much of the profit as they may deem desirable to the Reserve Fund until the said Fund shall amount to the sum of \$1,500,000 provided always that such annual appropriation shall not exceed 10 per cent. of the said profits.
- (c.) The following Article shall be substituted for Article No. 115, namely:—115.—The said Reserve Fund shall if practicable be maintained at a sum of not less than \$1,000,000 nor more than \$1,500,000 and the whole of the future accruing profits of the Company shall, subject to such annual Bonuses as aforesaid (if any) thereof (not exceeding in any year 30 per cent. of the profits of such year) as the General Managers may (as they are hereby empowered to do) apply in maintaining the Reserve Fund at a sum of not less than \$1,000,000 and less also such portion or portions (if any) thereof as may from time to time be applied in increasing the said Reserve Fund under Article 112; be divisible amongst the Shareholders generally according to the number of Shares held by each.

JARDINE, MATHESON & Co., General Managers.
Hongkong, February 22, 1887. 226

Business Notices.

LANE, CRAWFORD & CO.

CIGARS.
LANE, CRAWFORD & Co.'s SPECIAL BRAND 'THE MANILA'.
LA PERLA DEL ORIENTE.—RIVERA VICTORIA, BRIVAS, LONDELA, FRANCISCA, DAMAS, PERLAS, COBATO DE LA REINA, REGALIA CHICA, REGALIA ORIENTE, NUEVO HABANO EXTRA.
LA INSULAR.—No. 2 HABANO in 250 Boxes, \$4.00 per Box—(All in perfect condition).

TOBACCOS.

F. & J. SMITH'S, Glasgow.—BRIGHT CUT NAVY, FLAVER GOLDEN LEAF HONEY DREW, SUN DRIED MILD MIXTURE, GLASGOW MIXTURE, GOLDEN BEES, ETC., SEW CUT VIRGINIA.
COPE'S.—GOLDEN CLOUD, SMOKING MIXTURE, GOLDEN BIRDSEYE.
PAO'S.—GOLD LEAF RAGS TOBACCO.

CIGARETTES.

MALTA CIGARETTES.—KABAR-I-HIND, STAM OF INDIA.

LANE, CRAWFORD & Co. call special attention to their Splendid Assortment of PIPES, CIGAR-HOLDERS and SMOKER'S Sundries.
LANE, CRAWFORD & Co.
Hongkong, March 1, 1887. 575

ROBERT LANG & Co.,

Tailors, Hatters, Shirtmakers & General Outfitters,
QUEEN'S ROAD (OPPOSITE HONGKONG HOTEL).

EX LATE ARRIVALS.

A SELECTION OF

STYLISH SUITINGS AND TROUSERINGS.

DRAB SHELL HATS.

CHRISTY'S BLACK AND COLORED FELT HATS.

New Boots and Shoes.

PATENT LEATHER DANCING PUMPS.

Hongkong, February 16, 1887. 276

Victoria Hotel,

Praya and Queen's Road Central, Hongkong.

THIS Extensive and well-appointed Establishment, situated in one of the most central and airy positions in the Colony and commanding a splendid view of almost the entire harbour and within five minutes' walk of the principal Government Offices (including the Post Office), Banks, &c., has recently been much enlarged and improved and is now one of the principal Hotels in the place. The ROOMS are spacious, well ventilated and have just been refurnished in a most comfortable and handsome manner, suited to the requirements of the Far East. The Accommodation and Service of every kind will be found to be of the best description. An ample and varied TABLE D'HOIE is always provided and served in the spacious, large DINING HALL. The HOTEL also contains handsome and comfortable Reception, READING, BILLIARD and SMOOKING Rooms, well furnished and have just been refurnished in a most comfortable and handsome manner, suited to the requirements of the Far East. The HOTEL is unfurnished for comfort, convenience and quick service. Continental Languages are spoken.
Messrs. DORABJEE & HING KEE, Proprietors.
Hongkong, September 16, 1885. 1612

W. POWELL & Co.

HAVE RECEIVED First Deliveries of LADIES' and CHILDREN'S STRAW HATS. CHEST, BEIGE, TUSCAN and ORRAM LACES. LADIES' and GENTLEMEN'S UMBRELLAS. COLOURED, BORDERS POCKET HANDKERCHIEFS. BLACK and COLOURED LADIES' JERSEYS. Cheap TENNIS SHOES. GENTLEMEN'S Double SOLE SHOES. GLADSTONE'S and BRIEF BAGS. SARATOGA TRUNKS and TIN HOSES. NEW DESIGNS in TAPESTRIES. TAPESTRY TABLE CLOTHS, all sizes.
VICTORIA EXCHANGE, February 17, 1887. 283

THE BON MARCHE.

(UPPER MARKET).
ADJOINING THE STAG HOTEL (NEXT DOOR).
CONSISTING OF A STOCK OF FANCY and USEFUL ARTICLES, at Cash Prices and for Cash only.
WATCHES. MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS, ALBUMS. KNIVES. CUTLERY. LEATHER GOODS. CLOCKS, and a varied Stock of Fancy GOODS of all kinds.
BON MARCHE, next door to THE STAG HOTEL.
Hongkong, September 8, 1886. 1739

JUST PUBLISHED,

THE

HONGKONG ALMANACK

FOR

1887.

CONTAINING the Calendar and Astronomical Phenomena for the Year computed to Hongkong Civil Time, Information respecting the Colony and the several Government Departments, Meteorological and General Statistics, Tide Tables, Wages Tables, Postal Regulations, Map of the Island, and a Mass of Statistical and Interesting Local Information not otherwise accessible in a handy form, compiled by
BRUCE SHEPHERD.
PRICE, ONE DOLLAR.
KELLY & WALSHE, LIMITED.
Hongkong, February 11, 1887. 243

GRIFFITH'S

PHOTOGRAPHIC STUDIO.

1, DUDDELL STREET, HONGKONG.

NOTICE.

SHIPMASTERS and ENGINEERS are respectfully informed that, if upon their arrival in this Harbour, NONE of the Company's FOREMEN should be at hand, Orders for REPAIRS should be sent to the Head Office, No. 14, Praya Central, will receive prompt attention.

In the Event of Complaints being found necessary, Communication with the Undersigned is requested, when immediate steps will be taken to rectify the cause of dissatisfaction.
D. GILLIES, Secretary.
Hongkong, August 26, 1886. 1484

Intimations.

NOTICE.

AT a Preliminary Meeting held on Thursday, the 17th Inst., to consider what steps should be taken to Celebrate HER MAJESTY'S JUBILEE, it was unanimously resolved that a PUBLIC MEETING should be convened for WEDNESDAY, the 2nd Proximo, at 4.30 p.m., and that the Hon. the CHIEF JUSTICE should be asked to Preside.
ALL MEMBERS of the COMMUNITY are invited to attend.
The MEETING will be held in the CITY HALL.
By Order,
ARTHUR K. LEVINGS, Hon. J. H. SEWART-LOUGHEED, Secretaries.
Hongkong, February 18, 1887. 296

HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.

THE DIVIDEND declared for the Half-Year ending 31st December last, at the Rate of 2 1/10 (Two Pounds and Ten Shillings STERLING) per SHARE of \$125, is PAYABLE on and after MONDAY, the 28th Instant, at the Offices of the Corporation, where Shareholders are requested to apply for WARRANTS.

By Order of the Court of Directors,
JOHN WALTER, Acting Chief Manager.
Hongkong, February 24, 1887. 302

ORIENTAL BANK CORPORATION IN LIQUIDATION.

PAYMENT OF 4th DIVIDEND.

A FOURTH DIVIDEND of 6 per cent. on all CLAIMS against the Hongkong Branch of the Oriental Bank Corporation, that have been approved by the Court of Directors, will be paid on and after MONDAY NEXT, the 21st February, at the Offices of the NEW ORIENTAL BANK CORPORATION, LIMITED.
The 4th Dividend is payable on all Claims that the payment of the 4th Dividend may be endorsed thereon.
Creditors are requested to apply to the Bank for their Dividends and to produce the letter they hold from the Official Liquidator admitting their Claims, in order that the payment of the 4th Dividend may be endorsed thereon.
H. A. HERBERT, Attorney for the Official Liquidator, Oriental Bank Corporation.
2, Queen's Road, Hongkong, February 19, 1887. 302

HONGKONG AND WHAMPOA DOCK COMPANY, LIMITED.

NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

THE DIVIDEND of 7 per cent. or \$8.75 per SHARE, for the Six Months ended 31st December, 1886, declared at To-day's Ordinary Yearly Meeting, will be Payable at the premises of the HONGKONG AND WHAMPOA DOCK COMPANY, LIMITED, on or after TUESDAY, the 1st Proximo, and Shareholders are requested to apply for WARRANTS at the Company's Office, No. 14, Praya Central, Hongkong.
By Order of the Board of Directors,
DAVID GILLIES, Secretary.
Hongkong, February 26, 1887. 364

LUZON SUGAR REFINING COMPANY, LIMITED.

NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

THE Fifth Ordinary Annual General MEETING of the LUZON SUGAR REFINING CO., LTD., will be held in the Offices of the General Agents, Pedder's Street, on THURSDAY, the 10th day of March next, at 12.30 p.m., for the purpose of receiving a Report from the General Agents, with a Statement of Accounts to 31st December last.
THE BOOKS of the Company will be CLOSED from SATURDAY, 26th Instant, until the day after the Meeting.
JARDINE, MATHESON & Co., General Agents.
Hongkong, February 24, 1887. 340

DENTISTRY.

FIRST CLASS WORKMANSHIP. MODERATE FEES.

MR. WONG TAI-FONG,

Surgeon Dentist, (FORMERLY ASSISTANT TO DR. ROGERS.)
At the urgent request of his European and American patients and friends, has TAKEN THE OFFICE formerly occupied by Dr. ROGERS, No. 2, DUDDELL STREET.
CONSULTATION FREE.
Discount to missionaries and families. Sole Address
2, DUDDELL STREET, (Next to the New Oriental Bank.)
Hongkong, January 12, 1886. 66

NOTICES OF FIRMS.

NOTICE.

THE INTEREST and RESPONSIBILITY of the late Mr. GEORGE THEODOR STEINSEN in our FIRM, created on the 24th November 1886, and that of Mr. PAUL GERRARD HUBBS on the 31st December, 1886.
Mr. JACOB RUFF has been authorized to Sign our Firm per Procuration from this date.
SIEMSEN & Co.
Hongkong and China, 14th February, 1887. 310

CHINA TRADERS' INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.

DURING my Absence Mr. A. S. GARTI has been appointed Acting Secretary.

By Order,
W. H. RAY, Secretary.
Hongkong, February 15, 1887. 307

Auctions.

PUBLIC AUCTION.

WEDNESDAY,

the 2nd March, 1887, at 2 p.m., at his Sales Rooms, Queen's Road.

SUNDAY

HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, &c.,

belonging to E. E. DEAN, Esq., comprising:—
CHERRY-WOOD COVERED DRAWING-ROOM SUITE.
ENGLISH-MADE TAPESTRY COVERED EASY CHAIRS and CHAIRS, MIRRORS and PICTURES.
EXTRAORDINARY TABLE, ENGLISH-MADE SUNDRIES, WHATNOTS, CHINAWARE, GLASS and PLATED WARE, VIOLIN CHAIRS, ENGLISH-MADE LADY'S WRITING TABLE, BRASS and GOLD and GLASS BOOK CASE.
DOUBLES and SINGLE IRON BEDSTEAD, WARDROBE, CUPBOARD, DRAWERS, EXHIBITORS, TOILET TABLE and GLASS and MARBLE-TOP WASHSTAND.
A COTTAGE PIANO, by GEORGE RODGERS & SONS, London.
&c., &c., &c.
TERMS of SALE.—As customary.
J. M. ARMSTRONG, Auctioneer.
Hongkong, February 26, 1887. 365

POSTPONEMENT OF SALE.

PUBLIC AUCTION.

THE Undersigned has received instructions to Sell by Public Auction, on

MONDAY,

the 7th of March, 1887, at 11 a.m., on Board.

THE BRITISH THREE-MASTED SCHOONER

WANDERING MINSTREL,

of 362 Tons Register, Built in 1875, and Newly Sheathed with Yellow Metal, with all her TACKLE and APPAREL, as she then lies in the Harbour, near the Commodore's Dock.
Terms of Sale.—One-third of the Purchase Money to be paid after fall of hammer, the remainder upon transfer; the Vessel to be at Purchaser's risk immediately after being knocked down.
A STEAM LAUNCH will leave Pedder's Wharf at 10.45 a.m., for further Particulars, apply to the Auctioneer.
G. R. LAMBERT, Auctioneer.
Hongkong, February 28, 1887. 371

For Sale.

FOR SALE

THE BRITISH STEAMER

BOOTHOW,

Capacity 313 Tons, Speed 11 Knots. Thoroughly repaired last month. Terms Moderate.
Apply to
HO TIM, Broker, 18, Bank Buildings, QUEEN'S ROAD.
Hongkong, February 4, 1887. 108

FOR SALE.

JULES MUMM & Co.'s

CHAMPAGNE,

Quarts.....\$20 per Case of 1 doz.
Pints.....\$31. " " 1 doz.
DUBOS FRERES & Co. de Reims & Co.'s BORDEAUX CLARETS and WHITE WINES.
Baxter's Celebrated 'Barley Bree' WHISKY, \$12 per Case of 1 doz.
GIBB, LIVINGSTON & Co.
Hongkong, July 18, 1884. 1187

FOR SALE.

THE GERMAN BARQUE

ANTON GUNZEE,

Metalled in April 1885.
As the VESSEL is now lying in this Harbour. For Particulars, apply to
MELROTHS & Co., Agents.
Hongkong, February 23, 1887. 331

To Let.

TO LET.

ROOMS in 'COLLEGE CHAMBERS.'

Apply to
DAVID SASSOON, SONS & Co.
Hongkong, December 9, 1886. 638

TO LET.

WITH immediate occupation the Commodious PREMISES known as the P. & O. Old Offices, lately in the occupation of the HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.
For further Particulars, apply to the Undersigned.
E. L. WOODIN, Acting Superintendent.
Hongkong, November 23, 1886. 2220

Shipping.

Steamers.

DOUGLAS STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SWATOW, AMOY & FOCHOW.

The Co.'s Steamship
Anson, Capt. T. G. GARDNER, will be despatched for the above Ports on FRIDAY, the 4th Proximo, at 1 p.m.
For Freight or Passage, apply to
DAVID SASSOON, SONS & Co., Agents.
Hongkong, February 24, 1887. 338

OCEAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

FOR SHANGHAI VIA AMOY.

(Taking Cargo & Passengers at through rates for NINGPO, CHEFOO, NEW CHIWANG, TIENTSIN, HANKOW and Ports on the YANGTZE.)
The Co.'s Steamship
Anson, Capt. T. G. GARDNER, will be despatched as above on SUNDAY, the 6th March.
For Freight or Passage, apply to
BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE, Agents.
Hongkong, February 26, 1887. 368

Sailing Vessels.

FOR SAN FRANCISCO.

The 160 A 1 British Ship
Dryad, Capt. J. G. GARDNER, will lead here for the above Port, and will have quick despatch.
For Freight, apply to
RUSSELL & Co.
Hongkong, January 8, 1887. 42

SAILOR'S HOME.

ANY Sailors of Chinese, Japanese, or Persia will be thankfully received at the Sailor's Home, West Point.
Hongkong, July 25, 1875.

Shipping.

Steamers.

INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SINGAPORE, PENANG AND CALCUTTA.

The Co.'s Steamship
Wingang, Capt. D. A. DE ST. GEORGE, will be despatched for the above Ports on WEDNESDAY, the 2nd March, at 8 p.m.
This Steamer has superior First-class Accommodation, especially constructed to meet the requirements of tropical climates.

On Wednesday night, the 23rd ultimo, the P. & O. Co.'s Shanghai tender *Guilford* was in collision with a junk. The tender was conveying the passengers to the mail boat at Wossing, and the night being intensely dark, those in charge of her could not see any great distance ahead. There was a junk anchored across the tide owing to the wind, and being a dark object she was not seen till the *Guilford* was close upon her. Captain Fryer was, however, prevented by very serious accident occurring, and his vessel struck the junk a sliding blow close to the bows, which were damaged. The stevedores on the *Guilford* were also injured. —N. D. News.

A CORRESPONDENT of the Paris *Figaro* gives an interesting sketch of Dr. Windthorst, Bismarck's great opponent in the Reichstag. Dr. Windthorst, it appears, is a little man, extremely ugly to look at, with a mouth stretching from ear to ear, and 'frog's eyes.' He has been a consistent opponent of the Iron Chancellor since 1866. At that time Dr. Windthorst was Minister of Justice to the King of Hanover, and also 'Procureur-Général.' It was the annexation of Hanover and the consequent dismissal of Dr. Windthorst from the Ministry of Justice, that turned him into the bitter foe of Prince Bismarck, who he is known to be. Dr. Windthorst is, of course, an ardent Catholic, and never speaks of Leo XIII. save as the 'Pope-King.' M. Saint-Louis, the writer in the *Figaro*, draws an amusing picture of Prince Bismarck and Dr. Windthorst face to face in the Reichstag—the one (Windthorst) a dwarf, the other (Bismarck) a giant; the one refined and polished, the other bristling, overbearing, the one an ardent Catholic, the other an austere Protestant. The antagonism is carried out even in the matter of beverages, for Dr. Windthorst drinks champagne and Prince Bismarck keeps to beer. After this we need not be surprised to learn that Dr. Windthorst has big, cultured hands and is inordinately proud of their size and strength, while Dr. Windthorst has very small hands and is 'coquet' about them.

CAMPBELL, MOORE AND COMPANY.

The adjourned meeting of the shareholders of this Company was held in the Hongkong Hotel to-day. After waiting some time a quorum was got. The following shareholders were present:—Messrs. Fockers (Chairman), W. P. Moore, J. F. Webber, D. McCulloch, A. M. Apur, Y. Shui Wan, Arthur Fleet, A. G. Stokes, R. Dabney, and O. A. Osorio; and Mr. R. C. Hurley, Secretary.

The Chairman—You have the accounts before you. Is it your pleasure that they be passed?

Mr. Webber—Who is the secretary of the Company?

The Chairman—Mr. Hurley is acting secretary.

Mr. Webber—How is that he puts his name down as secretary? I heard that Mr. Lang was appointed secretary.

The Chairman—He will be legally appointed after this meeting, and then Mr. Hurley will hand over the books to him.

Mr. Stokes—Are they in proper order, the Accounts—Yes, I think so.

Mr. Stokes—Is this \$2,628.54, accounts receivable, all got?

The Chairman—The greater part of it.

Mr. Stokes—How much has been recovered since this statement was made up?

Mr. Moore—About \$100.

Mr. Moore—That leaves about \$1600.

Mr. Moore—I guess pretty near that amount. Of course some pay at one month and some two.

Mr. McCulloch—Do you try and cut down the system of chit-giving as much as possible, and get as much as possible in cash?

Mr. Moore—Of course we do not take chit in every one.

Mr. Webber—What amount has Mr. Hurley received for making up the accounts? Is the amount in this account?

Mr. Hurley—It is entered in accounts payable.

Mr. Webber—Then it has not been actually paid.

Mr. Hurley—It was not paid at the time that this account was audited.

Mr. Webber—Why did you get a loan of \$500 when you had all this large money coming in?

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

Mr. Moore—We have not paid interest on it. It was only lent to us as a favour.

on the directors to make them pay this \$250 as they give their services for nothing. I was very sorry that I was a director. I would like to be clear of the duties. I have not had time to look after the books. I look after the business and the establishment.

After some further discussion, Mr. Webber's motion was put to the meeting, when they voted for it. Mr. Webber, Mr. McCulloch and Mr. Y. Shui Wan. The Chairman, Mr. Apur and Mr. Dabney voted against it. On the value of the shares held by each side being counted it was found that those against the amendment had a majority of 120 to 80.

The Chairman then moved that the accounts be passed as printed. Mr. A. M. Apur seconded, and the motion was carried without opposition.

Some time was then consumed in endeavouring to appoint directors in place of Messrs. Campbell, McArthur and James, but as there did not seem any body very willing to act, the matter was dropped.

On the motion of the Chairman, seconded by Mr. McCulloch—it was agreed that the balance, \$582.66, should be used to write off 'Formation Account' the remainder to be carried forward to new account.

On the motion of the Chairman, seconded by Mr. Apur, Mr. A. O. D. Gordon was appointed auditor.

HER MAJESTY'S JUBILEE.

The following Resolutions are to be moved at the Public Meeting, to be held to-morrow, Wednesday:—

1. To be Proposed by His Honour The Chief Justice.—That the Jubilee of Her Most Gracious Majesty The Queen shall be celebrated by her loyal subjects in Hong Kong.

2. To be proposed by the Hon. A. P. Macdonell.—That the celebration take the form of a permanent institution to be called by Her Majesty's name, and of a Fête and general rejoicings.

3. To be proposed by the Hon. P. Eyrie.—That the Fête and general rejoicings be held on the 9th November next.

4. To be proposed by the Hon. C. P. Chater.—That as a permanent memorial of the Jubilee Year, the Government be invited to carry out, with the co-operation of the community, the scheme for a Park in the Wong-nai-ung Valley, if possible within the Jubilee Year, the said Park to be called the Victoria Park after Her Most Gracious Majesty.

THE WAN NIEN-CHING V. NEPAUL COLLISION CASE.

The N.-C. D. News make some comments on the decision in the *Wan Nien-Ching v. Nepaul* collision case which are beside the question altogether. Our contemporary seems to have entirely overlooked the fact that according to both sides both steamers were suddenly and unexpectedly enveloped in fog, and attributes the collision solely to the desire of the *Nepaul* to make a good passage. We think this is decidedly unjust, the more so as the *News* wholly passes over the alleged contributory negligence of the *Wan Nien-Ching* in blowing a misleading whistle instead of sounding a bell. The *News* says:—

There is not much to be said on the facts, as these are related in the judgment, of the action by the owners and others concerned in the transport *Wan Nien-Ching* against the British steamship *Nepaul*, belonging to the Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company. The case virtually resolved into the questions whether the *Nepaul* should have been running at all that morning, when the weather was hazy, and whether there was contributory negligence on the part of those on board the steamer, after they had heard the whistle of the *Nepaul*. The history of the case has been fully told in the Court, and there is therefore no occasion for us to recapitulate it. The Assessor who sat with the Chief Justice, although doubtful as to whether the *Nepaul* should have weighed anchor at all when she did so, was clearly of opinion that, considering the state of the weather, and the narrow sea she was moving in, where she might reasonably be expected to fall in with steamers and sailing vessels, both at anchor, and under weigh, was proceeding at an undue rate of speed from the time she first weighed anchor until the collision occurred.

They, the Assessors, consider that she ought to have certainly gone slow, or dead slow, and perhaps even have anchored. We imagine there will be a very general concurrence in this opinion. Only strong evidence that there had been contributory negligence on the part of the *Wan Nien-Ching*, which had greatly contributed to the disaster, would have lessened the responsibility of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an error in judgment on the part of the *Nepaul*, and evidence of this was either wanting or insufficient. Considering the closeness of the two ships, and the other when the light of the *Wan Nien-Ching* was first seen, and the speed at which the *Nepaul* was going, it is, to say the least, extremely doubtful if the most perfect management of the latter steamer could have averted the collision, had a bell been sounded or a danger signal used. The Assessors advised, and the Chief Justice, agreed with them; that the reversal of the *Nepaul*, from hard-to-port to hard-to-starboard was an

